Congruence

Consider real symmetric matrices of size n by n only (these are orthogonal diagonalizable). n is the order of the matrix.

If $B = P^T A P$ where P is invertible then A and B are said to be congruent.

Under similarity, the eigenvalues stay the same, since the characteristic equation is same.

Question: Under congruence, what stays the same?

For $c_i \neq 0$ we have congruence

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & c_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & c_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1^2 \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & c_2^2 \lambda_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

so we can change the value of each eigenvalue to one of +1, -1 or 0. Note permutation matrices are orthogonal, so we can change the order of the eigenvalues. Let p be the number of +1's, m be the number of -1's and z be the number of 0's. Note p+m+z=n, the order. The integer n-z is the rank and p-m is called the signature. From the order, rank and signature we can recover p, m and z.

Computational note: we can obtain the diagonal matrix above by using simultaneous row and column operations in a manner like ordinary row reduction — consider what EAE^T means for elementary E. This avoids having to compute eigenvalues.

In the complex case, where Hermitian matrices are used instead, λ_i is real. Use P^* instead of P^T . Then $\overline{c_i}\lambda_i c_i = |c_i|^2 \lambda_i$ so again the eigenvalues can be changed to one of +1, -1 or 0 and similar results hold.

Theorem (Sylvester's law of inertia) Symmetric matrices are congruent iff they have the same order, rank and signature (or equivalently, the same p, m and z).

Proof: Let

$$\Lambda_{p,m,z} = \text{Diagonal}(\overbrace{1,\ldots,1}^p,\overbrace{-1,\ldots,-1}^m,\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^z).$$

It suffices to show $\Lambda_{p,m,z}$ is not congruent to any other $\Lambda_{p',m',z'}$. For this the case p < p' is like all other cases. Suppose $\Lambda_{p,m,z} = P^T \Lambda_{p',m',z'} P$. Consider subspaces, where the x_i 's are arbitrary,

$$V_{1} = \{P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{p'} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \}, \qquad V_{-1} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ 0 \\ x_{p+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{p+m} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad V_{0} = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ x_{p+m+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{p+m+z} \end{pmatrix} \}$$

Since $\dagger \mathbf{v}^T \Lambda_{p,m,z} \mathbf{v}$ is >, < or = 0 for all nonzero $\mathbf{v} \in V_j$, as j is 1, -1 or 0, we see that the V_j are disjoint subspaces of n-space. Yet their dimensions add to p' + m + z which is greater than n.

† Let
$$\mathbf{v} = P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$
 be in V_1 . Then $\mathbf{v}^T \Lambda_{p,m,z} \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{0}) (P^{-1})^T \Lambda_{p,m,z} P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{0}) \Lambda_{p',m',z'} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = x_1^2 + \dots + x_{p'}^2 > 0 \text{ if } \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}.$