Automated Brain Tumor Detection Using Discriminative Clustering Based MRI Segmentation



Abhilash Panda, Tusar Kanti Mishra and Vishnu Ganesh Phaniharam

0 1 Introduction

The human body is made up of numerous kinds of cells and every cell is having 1 a unique set of functionalities. Eminently, all the cells in the body performs cell 2 division to sustain their normal growth, this keeps human body in good condition 3 [1]. During this process of this growth, rarely cells drain their capability leading to 4 abnormal growth of the cells. The mass of tissue caused due to abnormal growth of 5 extra cells is called a "Tumor". Tumor can be classified as three types, i.e., Benign 6 tumor, Pre-malignant, and Malignant tumors. Benign tumor is a tumor where it does 7 not enlarge in a brusque way and it does not cause any health issues. Moles are the 8 best examples for the benign tumor [2]. Pre-malignant tumor can be considered as 9 pre-cancer stage; if it is not medicated can lead to cancer. Malignant tumor is a tumor 10 with abnormal growth of cells and can be considered as cancer stage, often leading 11 to the death of a person. 12

In recent years, one of the main reasons for rising level of morality i.e., reduction in the lifespan of the adolescents is suffering from the brain tumor disease. It has been observed from contemporary studies that the enumeration of the people vanishing due to the brain tumor has risen to 300% [2]. So, brain tumor detection is an urgent need for today's smart world as radiation growing into a dangerous case of causing sudden deaths of birds. Brain tumor detection has lot of applications such as clinical

A. Panda

Gandhi Engineering College, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India e-mail: inc.abhilash@gmail.com

T. K. Mishra · V. G. Phaniharam (⊠) Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology and Sciences, Vizag, India e-mail: vishnuganesh93@gmail.com

T. K. Mishra e-mail: tusar.k.mishra@gmail.com

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2019 S. Tiwari et al. (eds.), *Smart Innovations in Communication and Computational Sciences*, Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing 851, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-2414-7 12 1

2

outlining and medication devising [3]. Brain tumor detection faces a lot challenges
as tumors in the brain are size variant, shape variant, shape variant, location variant

²¹ and image intensity variant.

²² 1.1 Scopes and Challenges

Automated Brain Tumor Detection has evolved into an eminent research oriented 23 field in the area of image processing and medicinal pathological research. Image 24 processing is a sub discipline of signal processing, where useful information is being 25 extracted by applying computing algorithms. Brain MRI segmentation plays a promi-26 nent role in brain tumor detection, where segmentation can be explained as dividing 27 an image in different parts based on feature homogeneity. MRI technique is used in 28 bio-medical analysis to visualize the cryptic elements of the imbricated regions of 29 brain. When compared to the computed tomography, MRI is a far better technique to 30 uncover the heterogeneities in the tissues. This quality makes MRI a special choice 31 for segmentation of brain internal tissues and detecting a likely tumor in the brain. 32 MRI aligns nuclear magnetization by using magnetic field whereas CT uses ionizing 33 radiation. The scanner detects the change in the alignment of magnetization caused 34 by radio frequencies and this signal is processed further to get internal structure 35 details of the brain. Analyzing MR images manually is very difficult because of its 36 large amount of data in it. So, automatic segmentation has become mandatory for 37 brain tumor detection and clinical diagnostics. MRI segmentation has its own chal-38 lenges namely acquisition noise, partial volume effect and bias effect. Acquisition 39 noise arises because ideal conditions are never expected. Bias field, also known as 40 intensity heterogeneity arises due to non-magnetic field thereby increasing the het-41 erogeneity. Partial volume effect arises when different types of tissues occur in single 42 voxel. To overcome these challenges, this method uses superpixel level zoning and 43 discriminative clustering (Fig. 1).

AQ2 44





45 1.2 Paper Organization

The remaining section of this paper is systemized as follows. A literature review of the various previously proposed brain tumor detection algorithms is described in Sect. 2. Our automated brain tumor detection method which is proposed in this paper is explained in Sect. 3. Simulation and experimental results of the proposed method are being discussed in Sect. 4. In the last Sect. 5, conclusion and future work of our paper is being presented.

52 **2** Literature Survey

This section of paper consists of review on previously contemplated automatic brain 53 tumor detection algorithms. Radhakrishna Achanta et al. proposed a new brain MRI 54 segmentation method which uses superpixels generated by clustering in the image 55 plane space and color in five-dimensional space [4]. The simplicity and efficiency 56 of this algorithm [4] are its advantages over advanced methods. Rajeev Ratan et al. 57 proposed a Brain tumor detection method which uses multiple parameters to analyze 58 the image and this method which uses watershed segmentation [1]. Of all these 59 parameters, intensity is taken into consideration to for MR image segmentation. 60 This method can detect the tumor in both 2D and 3D, which can be considered 61 as fringe benefit [1]. Anam Mustaqeem et al. [2] used morphological operators for 62 detecting a tumor from a brain MR image using Watershed and Thresholding Based 63 Segmentation [2]. Prastawa et al. [3] considered T2 MR Image channel as an input to 64 segmentation so as to propose a segmentation of brain using outlier detection. This 65 method is used for diagnosis, planning, and treatment of brain tumor as it reveals 66 the extent of edema. Dahab et al. [5] made use of neural network to propose an 67 automatic detection of brain tumor from an MRI scanned image. The aforementioned 68 works are proposed using several segmentation methods such as k-means and SVM 69 method, neural network, fuzzy methods etc. Although these methods have produced 70 desirable results, they are complex and high computational overhead. So, in this 71 proposed methods we have used discriminative clustering which gives better variance 72 in tissues of brain MR image and AdaBoost is pretty simple for classifying an image 73 into normal or abnormal. 74



Fig. 2 Proposed system architecture

75 **3 Proposed Model**

76 3.1 System Architecture

Automated brain tumor detection using discriminative clustering based brain MRI 77 segmentation architecture comprises of three stages especially, superpixel zoning, 78 discriminative clustering, feature extraction using discrete wavelet transform (DWT) 79 and classification using AdaBoost with random forests (ADBRF) algorithm. Here, 80 Superpixel zoning is used as initial segmentation and discriminative clustering as 81 secondary segmentation. These first two steps removes tissue heterogeneity in a single 82 superpixel thereby increasing the clarity and correctness of brain MR image which 83 helps in analysis of the image. First, a brain MRI dataset is inputted into the superpixel 84 level feature zoning and the superpixel patches divided by taking cluster center as its 85 lone parameter. These zones are clustered by using discriminative clustering, where 86 these clusters are formed using the homogenous features in the brain tissues. These 87 clustered MR image dataset performs feature extraction using level-3 2-D DWT 88 forming the trained classifier. Using ADBRF as its base classifier, the feature vector 89 can be classified as normal/abnormal, the system classifies it as normal, if it does not 90 contain tumor and abnormal, if it contains tumor (Fig. 2). 91



Fig. 3 Sample superpixel level zoning

⁹² 3.2 Superpixel Level Zoning

Superpixels are becoming highly popular in medical image analysis applications; 93 superpixels provide us ability to capture local image features and capture redundancy 94 there by reducing computational complexity. Although they are popular, they face 95 challenges such as high computational cost, poor quality segmentation, inconsistent 96 size, and shape [4]. Superpixels are used in applications such as depth estimation 97 [6], image segmentation [7, 8], skeletonization [9], body model estimation [10], and 98 object localization [11]. Superpixel can be defined as group of image pixels which 99 has homogenous pixel intensities. Pixels in the superpixels show same properties. If 100 you consider an image grid of same intensity values and also neighborhood values 101 which together grouped to form a superpixel. Let us assume a pixel intensity value 102 of 1 and if we consider all the intensity values of neighboring pixels forming an 103 edge of same values. We group these pixels to form a superpixel. Superpixels extract 104 homogenous features so they account for bias field. In this paper, we have used the 105 simple linear iterative clustering (SLIC) method to generate 2D superpixels for the 106 inputted brain MR Image. This SLIC method uses consider a pixel as its center and 107 initialize with "n" number of centers. It then calculates the Euclidean distance with 108 nearer neighboring pixels and the pixels with same intensity values are grouped as 109 matching pixels. This process is stopped when the distance is greater than threshold 110 value. Figure 3 shows the brain MR image which is segmented using superpixel 111 zoning. 112



Fig. 4 Decomposition using Haar wavelet

113 3.3 Feature Extraction Using Haar Wavelet Transform

The Haar Wavelet Transform is an effective method for feature extraction [12]. It has 114 many applications in image processing and signal processing as it considers local 115 features of images. Haar wavelet transform is simple and computationally efficient. 116 It offers decomposition of MR images using time scale representation, which is very 117 useful in classification scenarios [13]. DWT is accepted as new compression standard 118 JPEG2000. At level zero, This wavelet transform has two filters to pass through the 119 image i.e., low pass filter and high pass filter. The input signal is sent into low pass 120 filter where a low resolution signal is extracted whereas when the input signal is sent 121 into high pass filter it extracts a difference signal. At level 1, the output signals of the 122 high pass filters are applied by another pair of filters [13]. This process repeats until 123 the level 3 is completed. It is the best suitable dwt for the classification process as 124 it is very fast, symmetric and orthogonal in nature, it also used to extract structural 125 information from the images and performs well in the presence of noise. DWT is 126 decomposed in this process up to three levels. Firstly, a brain MR image of size M 127 \times M is segregated to (M/2) \times (M/2) of four sub bands namely, LL (low-low), LH 128 (low-high), HL (high-low) and HH (high-high). The LH, HL and HH are the sub-129 band images that contain the edges in the vertical, horizontal and diagonal directions 130 respectively. The low-low sub-band image contains maximum information and it can 131 also be treated as output of high filters and sent into next level for decomposition. 132 This low-low sub-band image is also called approximation image (Fig. 4). 133

6

Input: Brain MR Image dataset (D) with each sample (di), with dimension M x M. **Output**: Feature Matrix (f).

Step 1: Initialize $f \rightarrow \phi$

Step 2: Repeat for each image (I).

Step 3: Put X superpixel seed points.

Step 4: Label each pixels using

D(x, l) = I(x, l) + C(x, l)

Step 5: Update seed points.

Step 6: Update each pixel label using

 $D(x, l) = wb \times B(x, l) + wi \times I(x, l)$

Step 7: Repeat step5-6 until pixel label is optimized.

Step 8: Connect the neighboring pixel surroundings the seed pointers.

Step 9: Apply discriminative clustering to the zones obtained above.

Step 10: Generate final segmented image Iseg with distinct colors for each segment.

Step 11: Apply Level3 2D-DWT to I_{seg} and the feature to f.

 $f \leftarrow f \upsilon fi$, Where fi is feature set from I_{seg}

Step 12: final feature set F is given to ADBRF classifier.

135 3.4 Classification Using ADBRF

ADBRF is an acronym for AdaBoost with random forests algorithm. In this method, 136 AdaBoost is combined with random forests algorithm to build a classifier, which 137 is used to categorize the regions of brain by using the features extracted by haar 138 wavelet transform. It is used to better the results of the accuracy and stability of 139 the any learning algorithm. When we consider several weak classifiers of high error 140 rates and to make them useful, ADB combines them and generate a classifier with a 141 small training error rate [14, 15]. ADB is easy to implement, fast and simple. This 142 algorithm can be integrated with other classifiers as it is non-parametric in nature 143 [16]. RF is a machine learning algorithm which is simple, effectively estimates the 144 missing data, robust to outliers and noise [12]. It can run efficiently on large datasets, 145 estimates important features for classification. Here, we use this algorithm for binary 146

134

Author Proof

Author Proof



Fig. 5 Sample input and output

- classification; it results in two class labels 0 and 1. The class label 0 denotes the normal class whereas the class label 1 denotes the abnormal class (Fig. 5)
- class whereas the class label 1 denotes the abnormal class (Fig. 5).

4 Simulation Results

Simulation is carried using MATLAB codes and the output figures are attached with 150 each section separately for better understanding. Its performance is being evaluated 151 on the BrainWeb dataset where it acquired 100% accuracy. BrainWeb database is 152 a brain MRI database with several parameters and the images provided with tissue 153 label for each brain tissue voxel, with a size of 181×217 pixels which can be 154 retrieved from http://brainweb.bic.mni.mcgill.ca/brainweb/. In this dataset, the echo 155 time and the repetition time have been set to 10 ms and 18 ms respectively. A total 156 of 200 samples are analyzed for the purpose. For computing the accuracy the k-fold 157 (k = 5) cross-validation strategy has been adopted. Overall accuracy rate of 96% has 158 been reported for the proposed scheme on the said dataset. This is quite satisfactory. 159 A comparative analysis is also performed with other proposed algorithms on same 160 problem statement. The proposed scheme outperforms the rest of the state of the art 161 methods as shown in below figure. 162



Author Proof

Conclusion and Future Work 5 164

In this paper, a method for detecting a tumor from a brain MR Image is proposed. This 165 method uses superpixel level zoning of the brain MR Image and performs clustering 166 using the discriminative clustering. These clusters divide the scanned image of brain 167 into different brain tissues into White Matter, Gray Matter, and Cerebro Spinal Fluid. 168 Extraction of features from the structures of brain is carried out by Haar Wavelet 169 Transform. ADBRF is used as a base classifier where it classifies a brain MR Image 170 into normal or abnormal. This method achieves 100% of accuracy on BrainWeb 171 MRI dataset. How to extend our proposed method by integrating with deep learning 172 algorithms and to use in real-world applications constitutes our future work. 173

References 174

- 1. Ratan, R., Sharma, S., Sharma, S.K.: Brain tumor detection based on multi- parameter MRI 175 image analysis. ICGST-GVIP J. 9(III) (2009). ISSN 1687-398X 176
- 2. Mustageem, A., Javed, A., Fatima, T.: An efficient brain tumor detection algorithm using 177 watershed & thresholding based segmentation. Int. J. Image Graph. Signal Process. 10, 34–39 178 (2012) (Published Online September 2012 in MECS). http://www.mecs-press.org/. https://do 179 i.org/10.5815/ijigsp.2012.10.05 180
- 3. Prastawa, M., Bullitt, E., Ho, S., Gerig, G.: A brain tumor segmentation framework based on 181 outlier detection. Med. Image Anal. 8, 275-283 (2004) 182
- 4. Achanta, R., Shaji, A., Smith, K., Lucchi, A., Fua, P., Susstrunk, S.: SLIC Superpixels, EPFL 183 Technical Report 149300, June 2010 184
- 5. Dahab, D.A., Ghoniemy, S.S., Selim, G.M.: Automated brain tumor detection and identification 185 using image processing and probabilistic neural network techniques. Int. J. Image Process. Vis. 186
- Commun. 1(2) (2012). ISSN 2319-1724 187

AQ3

- 6. Hoiem, D., Efros, A., Hebert, M.: Automatic photo pop-up. SIGGRAPH (2005) 188
- 7. Li, Y., Sun, J., Tang, C.K., Shum, H.Y.: Lazy snapping. SIGGRAPH 303-308 (2004) 189
- 8. He, X., Zemel, R., Ray, D.: Learning and incorporating top-down Cues in image segmentation. 190 ECCV 338-351 (2006) 191
- 9. Levinshtein, A., Sminchisescu, C., Dickinson, S.: Multiscale symmetric part detection and 192 grouping. ICCV (2009) 193
- 10. Mori, G.: Guiding model search using segmentation. In: ICCV 1417-1423 (2005) 194
- 11. Fulkerson, B., Vedaldi, A., Soatto, S.: Class segmentation and object localizationwith super-195 pixel neighborhoods. In: ICCV (2009) 196
- 12. Nayak, D.R., Dash, R., Majhi, B.: Brain MR image classification using two-dimensional dis-197 crete wavelet transform and AdaBoost with random forests. Neurocomputing 177 188-197 198 (2016)199
- 13. Kour, P.: Image processing using discrete wavelet transform. IPASJ Int. J. Electron. Commun. 200 (IIJEC) 3(1) (2015). ISSN 2321-5984 201
- 14. Freund, Y., Schapire, R.E.: Experiments with a new boosting algorithm. In: International Con-202 ference on Machine Learning, vol. 96, pp. 148-156 (1996) 203
- 15. Duda, R.O., Hart, P.E., Stork, D.G.: Pattern Classification. Wiley, New York (2012) 204
- 16. Schapire, R.E.: A brief introduction to boosting. In: International Joint Conference on Artificial 205 Intelligence, vol. 99, pp. 1401–1406 (1999) 206
- 17. Achanta, R., Shaji, A., Smith, K., Lucchi, A., Fua, P., Susstrunk, S.: Slic superpixels compared 207 to state-of-the-art superpixel methods. IEEE Trans. Patt. Anal. Mach. Intell. 34(11), 2274–2282 208 (2012)209
- 18. Breiman, L.: Random forests. Mach. Learn. 45(1), 5-32 (2001) 210
- 19. Kapoor, L., et al.: A survey on brain tumor detection using image processing techniques. In: 211 2017 7th International Conference on Cloud Computing, Data Science & Engineering-Con-212 fluence. https://doi.org/10.1109/confluence.2017.7943218 213
- 20. Rao, H., et al.: Brain tumor detection and segmentation using conditional random field. In: 214 2017 IEEE 7th International Advance Computing Conference (IACC). https://doi.org/10.110 215 9/iacc.2017.0166 216
- 21. Santosh, S., et al.: Implementation of image processing for detection of brain tumors. In: 2017 217 International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication (ICCMC). https:// 218 doi.org/10.1109/iccmc.2017.8282559 219
- 22. Somwanshi, D., et al.: An efficient brain tumor detection from MRI images using entropy mea-220 sures. In: 2016 International Conference on Recent Advances and Innovations in Engineering 221 (ICRAIE). https://doi.org/10.1109/icraie.2016.7939554 222
- 23. Singh, B., et al.: Detection of brain tumor using modified mean-shift based fuzzy c-mean seg-223 mentation from MRI Images. In: 2017 8th IEEE Annual Information Technology, Electronics 224 and Mobile Communication Conference (IEMCON). https://doi.org/10.1109/iemcon.2017.81 225 17123 226
- 24. Krishnamurthy, T.D., et al.: Automatic segmentation of brain MRI images and tumor detection 227 using morphological techniques. In: 2016 International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, 228 Communication, Computer and Optimization Techniques (ICEECCOT). https://doi.org/10.11 229 09/iceeccot.2016.7955176 230
- 25. Nanda Gopal, N., et al.: Diagnose brain tumor through MRI using image processing clus-231 tering algorithms such as Fuzzy C Means along with intelligent optimization techniques. In: 232 2010 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research 233
- (ICCIC). https://doi.org/10.1109/iccic.2010.5705890 234

10